This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Office

Action dated 13 June 2007. Responsive to the Office Action, Claims 1 and 2 have

been amended. Claims 1-6 remain pending in the subject Patent Application.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the Specification citing

several informalities contained therein. Accordingly, all cited instances have been

amended and are believed to have been resolved.

The Examiner then objected to Claim 1 and Claim 2 due to certain

informalities. Accordingly, the informalities have been amended and are now

believed to properly recite the invention of the subject Patent Application.

Therefore, the Examiner's objections are now believed to be obviated.

The Examiner kindly indicated that Claims 1-6 would be allowed if the

Claim objections noted above were to be corrected. Specifically, the Examiner

stated that Applicant's Claims include elements which are not taught by the prior

art, nor rendered obvious. As discussed supra, all informalities contained in the

Specification and the Claims have been amended and are now believed to be

acceptable.

It is to be made clear that such amendments to the Claims are made without

addressing the merits of the references cited by the Examiner so as to forward the

prosecution of the subject Patent Application towards a favorable conclusion,

given the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter in this case.

Page 6 of 7

MR1035-1328

Serial Number: 10/699,931

Reply to Office Action dated 13 June 2007

Dependent Claims 2-6 are believed to show further patentable distinctions, but are believed allowable for at least the reasons presented supra.

The remaining references cited by the Examiner, but not used in the rejection are believed to be far removed when patentability considerations are properly taken into account.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is therefore now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

No fees are believed to be due with this Amendment. If there are any charges associated with this filing, the Honorable Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account #18-2011 for such charges.

Respectfully submitted,

ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE For:

Morton J. Rosenberg Registration #26,049

10 august 2007

Suite 101 3458 Ellicott Center Drive Ellicott City, MD 21043 (410) 465-6678 Customer No. 04586